|    | Case 1:25-cv-00792-JLT-SAB Documen  | t 10 Filed 09/09/25 Page 1 of 1                        |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   |  |
| 2  |   |  |
| 3  |   |  |
| 4  |   |  |
| 5  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |  |
| 6  | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |
| 7  |   |  |
| 8  | YURIY V. VASILCHUCK,  | No. 1:25-cv-00792-JLT-SAB (PC)                         |
| 9  | Plaintiff,  | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED |
| 10 | v.  |  |
| 11 | J. DOERER, et al.,  | (ECF No. 8)  |
| 12 | Defendants.   |  |
| 13 |   |  |
| 14 | Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this action filed pursuant to <u>Bivens v. Six Unknown</u>          |  |
| 15 | Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).  |  |
| 16 | On July 31, 2025, the Court screened Plaintiff complaint, found no cognizable claims, and             |  |
| 17 | granted Plaintiff the opportunity to amend the complaint. (ECF No. 8.) Plaintiff has not filed an     |  |
| 18 | amended complaint or otherwise communicated with the Court and the time to do so has passed.          |  |
| 19 | Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall show cause within <b>fourteen (14)</b> days    |  |
| 20 | from the date of service of this order why this action should not be dismissed for failure to state a |  |
| 21 | cognizable claim. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation to    |  |
| 22 | dismiss the action.   |  |
| 23 | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |  |
| 24 |   | Thurst S. Toda   |
| 25 | Dated: September 9, 2025  | STANLEY A. BOONE                                       |
| 26 |   | United States Magistrate Judge                         |
| 27 |   |  |
| 28 |   |  |